

# State of Utah

**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES** 

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

January 8, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7014 2870 0001 4231 9401

Avraham Azoulay Bromide Mining, LLC 80 Southwest 8<sup>th</sup> ST STE 2000 Miami, FL 33130

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Notice of Violation No. MN-2015-42-03, Bromide

Mining, LLC, Bromide Basin Mine, S/017/0031, Garfield County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Azoulay:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced Notice of Violation. The NOV was issued by Division inspector, Wayne Western, on August 13, 2015. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$2,750.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this NOV has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.



Page **2** of **2** Avraham Azoulay S/017/0031 January 8, 2016

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by October 5, 2015). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet
cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting
Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M017-Garfield\S0170031-Bromidebasin\non-compliance\MN2015-42-03\passltr-6773-08282015.doc

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM	PANY	/ MINI	2015-42-03 E Bromide Mining,		Basin Mine	S/017/0031			
ASSE	ESSMI	ENT DA	TE <u>September 4, 20</u> FICER <u>Lynn Kunz</u>	015 (revised Janu ller	ary 8, 2016)				
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)  A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three (3) years of today's date?								
	PRE		VIOLATIONS C-2013-42-03	EFFECTIVE 07/21/20		POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO			
			2013 12 03		17				
					TOTAL H	IISTORY POINTS 5			
		apply: Officer will determine within It Officer will adjust the points ments as guiding documents.  Event (A)							
	A. <u>EVENT VIOLATIONS</u> (Max 45 pts.)								
		as designed to prevent?							
		2.	standard was design <u>PROBABII</u> None	ned to prevent?	POINT RA	event which a violated  ANGE			
			Unlikely Likely		1-9 10-19				
			Occurred		20				
			ASSIGN P	ROBABILITY (	OF OCCUR	RENCE POINTS 20			

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Inspector indicated that oil has spilled on the ground at the bulk oil storage facility, thus contaminating the soil. This is considered that the event has occurred – 20 points therefore are assigned.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage:

Oil spill has stained approximately 4-6 square feet of soil. This is not considered a major spill and is unlikely to enter the groundwater system.

#### ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 5

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

<u>See also comments under #3 above – this is considered a minimal amount, therefore points assigned at 1/5 of the point range.</u>

#### B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)</u>

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? \_\_\_\_\_\_ Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS NA

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 25

#### III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)

Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)

Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligent

#### ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 15

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The operator had been previously sited for this very same issue in the past (see Cessation Order #MC-2013-42-03). Since this is a repeat violation, A higher degree of negligence is evident. Points were therefore assessed at the top of the 'Neglegence' range at 15 points.

# IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

### Has Violation Been Abated? No

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

Rapid Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	11 to 20
Violation abated in less time than allotted.) Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	-1 10 -10
Extended Compliance	. 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

# ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: As of December 21, 2015, the due date to have all abatement completed, the Division is not aware that the abatement work is completed. Due to weather conditions, the site is un-accessible at this time to verify the work is completed. Therefore it is assumed the violation is not abated for the purpose of this assessment. Therefore Good Faith Points are not awarded.

# V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	45
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	15
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	25
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	5